



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,674		10/09/2001	Hannes Loferer	GPCG-P01-019 5774	
28120	7590	06/02/2004		EXAMINER	
ROPES & C		<del></del>	SHAHNAN SHAH, KHATOL S		
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624  ART UNIT				PAPER NUMBER	
				1645	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		09/973,674	LOFERER ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Khatol S Shahnan-Shah	1645						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHOTHE I  - Exter after  - If the  - If NO  - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI or statute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	l							
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and	ithdrawn from consideration.							
Applicati	on Papers								
,—	The specification is objected to by the Ex								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 						

Art Unit: 1645

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-5 are, drawn to a method for identifying and testing an antagonist or inhibitor of a gene, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - II. Claims 6, 8 and 9 are, drawn to a method for designing an improved antagonist for treatment of a bacterial infection, classified in class 424, subclass 92.
  - III. Claims 7 and 10-13 are, drawn to an antagonist of a polypeptide encoded by a gene, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - IV. Claims 14, 15, 17 and 18 are and are, drawn to use of a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 69.7.
  - V Claim 16 is, drawn to a method of preventing or treating disease, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
  - VI. Claims 19-21 are, drawn to isolating a surrogate marker, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I, II, IV, V and VI are drawn to distinct methods. The inventions are drawn to different methods, which differ in the method objectives, method steps, reagents and material used.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

Art Unit: 1645

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the antagonist of group III can be made by a different method (i.e. pharmacological methods).

Inventions III and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case a different product i.e. a vaccine can be used to prevent and treat bacterial infections.

Inventions II and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions a method of designing an improved antagonist is not related to a method of isolating a surrogate marker.

Inventions IV and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions a method of using a polypeptide is not related to a method of isolating a surrogate marker.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other for the reasons stated. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate

Art Unit: 1645

subject for inventive effect and requires independent searches.

4. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Election

Page 4

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If applicants elect group I, then there are additional election of species.

- a) Please elect one of the method species of claims 1, 2 or 3.
- b) Please elect one of the gene species of claims 1, 2 or 3.

If applicants elect group II, then there are additional election of species.

a) Please elect one of the gene species of claim 6.

If applicants elect group III, then there are additional election of species.

a) Please elect one of the gene species of claim 7.

If applicants elect group IV, then there are additional election of species.

- a) Please elect one of the gene species of claims 14, 17 or 18.
- If applicants elect group VI, then there are additional election of species.
  - a) Please elect one of the gene species of claims 19 or 21.

Art Unit: 1645

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 3, 14, 17, 18, 19 and 20 are generic.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

**6.** Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khatol S Shahnan-Shah whose telephone number is (571)-272-0863. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-4 pm.

Art Unit: 1645

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F Smith can be reached on (571)-272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

the home

Khatol Shahnan-Shah, B.S., Pharm., M.S.

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1645

May 31, 2004

RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER